	Case 3:06-cv-06872-CRB Document 27	7 Filed 07/02/07 Page 1 of 2
1	MARK L. MOSLEY, SBN 136449 BRIAN GEARINGER, SBN 146125	
2	STEPHEN F. HENRY, SBN 142336 MOSLEY & GEARINGER LLP	
3	825 Van Ness Avenue, Fourth Floor San Francisco, California 94109-7837	
4	Telephone: (415) 440-3102 Facsimile: (415) 440-3103	
5	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF	
6	JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney, SB #129729	
7	RANDOLPH W. HALL, Chief Asst. City Attorne RACHEL WAGNER, Supervising Trial Attorney,	
8	INÉS VARGAS FRAENKEL, Deputy City Attor One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor	
9	Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 238-6512	
10	Facsimile: (510) 238-6500 Email: ivfraenkel@oaklandcityattorney.org	
11	25255:410140	
12	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS CITY OF OAKLAND, TUCKER and POWELL	
13		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16		
17	ELIZABETH CLARK,) Case No.: C06-06872 (CRB)
18	Plaintiff,)) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
19	VS.	HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER
20	THE CITY OF OAKLAND, a California)
21 22	public entity, CHIEF WAYNE G. TUCKER, in his individual and official capacities,))
23	OFFICER MITCH POWELL, in his individual and official capacities and DOES ONE)
24	through FIFTY, inclusive,	
25	Defendants.	
26	It is hereby STIPULATED by and bety	ween the parties, through their respective attorneys
27	of record and pending Order of this Court, that the date set by this Court for hearing of	
28	defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment be	e continued from August 10 to September 14,
	STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER	1 C06-06872 (CRB)

	Case 3:06-cv-06872-CRB Document 27 Filed 07/02/07 Page 2 of 2	
1	2007.	
2	Good cause exists for this extension as the parties and their attorneys have been	
3	diligently moving the case forward but despite best efforts, were not able to complete the Early	
4	Neutral Evaluation (ENE) until June 4, 2007. The parties have continued to cooperate with	
5	discovery efforts and defendants have produced hundreds of documents to plaintiff. Defendants	
6	hope to take plaintiff's deposition before filing moving papers. The additional time will allow	
7 8	them to file their motion in time to have a hearing of the matter on September 14, 2007.	
9	Dated: June 29, 2007 MOSLEY & GEARINGER	
10	STEPHEN F. HENRY, ESQ.	
11	By: /s/ Stephen Henry	
12	Stephen F. Henry	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14		
15	Dated: June 29, 2007 OAKLAND CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
16	By: /s/ Inés Vargas Fraenkel	
17	Inés Vargas Fraenkel	
18	Attorneys for Defendants	
19	<u>ORDER</u>	
20	Pursuant to stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby	
21	ordered that the hearing date for Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment is continued to	
22	September 14, 2007 at 10:00 a.m.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
2425	Statte	
26	Dated: July 2, 2007 CHARLES IT IS SO ORDERED LIS NATIONAL STATES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	
27	U.S. Nothrier	
28	Judge Charles R. Breyer	
	STIPLILATION TO CONTINUE 2 CONTINUE 2	
	HEARING DATE; [PROPOSED] ORDER	